country as rich as ours. Everyone

EU Bul Bul Forum 1/23/77

Blood on history books

One of the members of the House of Representatives who is "wisely reconsidering" the funding of the House assassination committee is Rep. Don Edwards (D-Calif.). He claims that it is "wrong, immoral and very likely illegal" for the House investigators to use electronic devices on witnesses and suspects (he calls them "citizens") even though as an FBI agent he routinely used such devices on "citizens" suspected of lesser crimes.

The House of Representatives does not have the power to prosecute even if its committee identifies co-conspirators. If given the funds, however, the committee would have the power to find out some healthy truths concerning these murky affairs and give future generations a more accurate account of our history, even if we are not so terribly interested.

The committee should not give in to those who want to protect the image of already discredited institutions and agencies. Political interests should not control the direction of the investigation by limiting the budget.

If this committee does not answer all of the questions it is assigned to probe, you won't be able to read through the blood on the history textbooks.

William E. Kelly, Jr.
Ocean City, N.J.

Let's correct some false impressions, specifically Adam Schneider's Jan 10 letter ("FBI funny business?").

The Archives bullet is not the only direct link with Oswald. The FBI did not claim to have found a second bullet. The bureau said someone else found it and turned it over to the FBI.

This makes the third bullet. There are already two known bullets. Three shots were fired, which means another bullet exists. The third bullet was found in November; there is no "13-year gap."

The FBI has the right, duty and obligation to make a ballistic test which requires comparison with the known specimen. Any charge of hanky panky is ridiculous.

Paul V. Hagan
Merion, Pa.